

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)	
	09/905,519	NAKANO, SATOSHI	
	Examin r	Art Unit	
	Jordan M. Schwartz	2873	

**All Participants:**
**Status f Applicati n:** \_\_\_\_\_

 (1) Jordan M. Schwartz.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Donald Lucas.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 30 June 2004
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1 and 19

Prior art documents discussed:

Tucker reference

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Proposed changes to claims 1 and 19 were suggested by the examiner to overcome the Tucker reference. Though applicant's representative made a diligent effort, he did not hear back from his client in a timely manner prompting this final rejection.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)